

# Total nitrogen (TN), organic carbon (OC), and soil pH, in a Long Term Continuous Winter Wheat (Triticum Aestivum L.) Experiment

Mariana Del Corso, Bruno Figueiredo, and Bill Raun

Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, Oklahoma State University, 371 Agricultural Hall, Stillwater, OK – 74078 delcors@okstate.edu

#### Introduction

- Continuous use of organic and inorganic fertilizers can impact soil properties such as total nitrogen (TN), organic carbon (OC), and soil pH.
- The relationship between SOC and TN can impact decomposition and mineralization rates.
- Main result of decomposition is the release of carbon (C) from soils to the atmosphere as carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ).

## **Objective and Hypothesis**

 The objective was to document changes in total nitrogen (TN), organic carbon (OC), and soil pH over 25 years.

### Materials and Methods

- The Magruder plots evaluate the value of fertilizer in a longterm conventionally tilled winter wheat experiment that was started in 1892.
- 24 site-years comprehensively evaluated.
- Six unreplicated plots included cattle manure, inorganic phosphorus (P), nitrogen (N) and P, N,P, and potassium (K), and NPK+lime applied when soil pH dropped was below 5.5.
- Composite soil samples taken each year, 0-15cm, air-dried, ground to pass a 2mm sieve, and stored at room temperature, 25°C.
- TN and OC determined using a LECO dry combustion analyzer.
- Soil pH measured using an electronically with a 1:1 soil to deionized water solution.
- ANOVA was performed using years as replications and Duncan test for mean separation.





Figure 1: The Magruder plot in 1947 and 2010.

Table 1: Treatment structure with source of fertilizer and rate, Magruder Plots, OK 1991-2015.

Year	Trt -	N source	Rate	P source	Rate	K source	Rate
Teal	111		kg ha <sup>-1</sup>		kg ha <sup>-1</sup>		kg ha <sup>-1</sup>
	1	Manure‡	269	-	0	-	0
	2	-	0	-	0	-	0
	3	-	0	TSP	34	-	0
1991-2003	4	$NH_4NO_3$	67	TSP	34	Potash	34
	5	$NH_4NO_4$	67	TSP	34	Potash	34
	6†	NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>5</sub>	67	TSP	34	Potash	34
	1	Manure‡	269	-	0	-	0
	2	-	0	-	0	-	0
2003-2016	3	-	0	TSP	34	-	0
2003-2010	4	Urea	67	TSP	34	Potash	34
	5	Urea	67	TSP	34	Potash	34
	6†	Urea	67	TSP	34	Potash	34

- ‡ Manure was applied in 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007, and 2015.
- † Lime was applied in 2009, TSP triple super phosphate.

## Results

Table 2. Linear regression between treatments for Total Nitrogen (TN), test for intercept=0 and slope=1 (PR>t,0.05) and ANOVA evaluating treatments using years as replications, Duncans mean separation procedure (PR>F,0.05) 1990-2015, Stillwater, OK.

Treatment	n	Test variable	Estimate	PR> t	Mean†	Standard deviation	
Manusa Dlat	1.4	Intercept=0	-0.4949	0.9531	1.00046	0.1240	
Manure Plot	14	Slope=1	0.0008	0.8501	1.0964a	0.1240	
Check Plot	15	Intercept=0	12.1107	0.0263	0.904c	0.0943	
Check Plot	ot 15	Slope=1	-0.0056	0.0374	0.9040	0.0945	
P Plot	15	Intercept=0	2.7598	0.5916	0.9273c	0.0827	
PPIOL	15	Slope=1	-0.0009	0.7208	0.32730		
NP Plot	15	Intercept=0	-5.2590	0.3991	1.04b	0.1039	
NF FIOL	13	Slope=1	0.0031	0.3154	1.040	0.1039	
NPK Plot	15	Intercept=0	0.3886	0.9493	1.0386b	0.0972	
NEKTIOL	13	Slope=1	0.0003	0.9152	1.03600	0.0372	
NPKL Plot	14	Intercept=0	-2.4270	0.7480	1.0542ab	0.1200	
	14	Slope=1	0.0017	0.6455	1.0342ab	0.1200	
Source	Source DF	Type III SS	Mean	F Value	DR	PR> F	
		Sq		ı value	F N	<b>/</b>  「  	
Treatment	5	0.4594	0.0918	21.4500	<.0001		
Year	14	0.6016	0.0429	10.0300	<.0001		

<sup>†</sup> Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

Table 3. Linear regression analysis between treatments for Organic Carbon (OC), test for intercept=0 and slope=1 (PR>t,0.05) and ANOVA evaluating treatments using years as replications, Duncans mean separation procedure (PR>F,0.05) 1990-2015, Stillwater, OK.

Treatment	n	Test	Estimate	PR> t	Mean†	Standard	
Treatment	11	variable	Latimate			deviation	
Manure Plot	14	Intercept=0	-2.7442	0.9631	9.3128a	0.8765	
ivialitie Flot	14	Slope=1	0.0060	0.8392	9.3120a		
Check Plot	15	Intercept=0	118.1658	0.0029	6.7506d	0.7320	
CHECK FIOL	13	Slope=1	-0.0556	0.0044	0.7500d	0.7320	
P Plot	15	Intercept=0	106.1994	0.0196	7.1806c	0.7924	
rriot	13	Slope=1	-0.0494	0.0276	7.10000		
NP Plot	15	Intercept=0	-8.9663	0.8189	8.3013b	0.6320	
WEFIOL		Slope=1	0.0086	0.6602			
NPK Plot	15	Intercept=0	67.2050	0.0575	8.5433b	0.5892	
MINITOL		Slope=1	-0.0293	0.0920	0.34330		
NPKL Plot	14	Intercept=0	46.6313	0.3931	9.3123a	0.8661	
NENLFIOL	14	Slope=1	-0.0187	0.4899	9.3123a	0.0001	
Source	Source DF	Type III SS	Mean	F Value	PR> F		
			Square	ı value			
Treatment	5	80.9850	16.1970	47.9100	<.0001		
Year	14	23.4838	1.6774	4.9600	<.0001		

<sup>†</sup> Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

Table 4. Linear regression analysis between treatments for soil pH, test for intercept=0 and slope=1 (PR>t,0.05) and ANOVA evaluating treatments using years as replications, Duncans mean separation procedure (PR>F,0.05) 1990-2015, Stillwater, OK.

		•	•			
Treatment	n	Test	Estimate	PR> t	Mean†	Standard
		variable		1 1		deviation
Manure Plot	14	Intercept=0	42.6790	0.1072	6.4892a	0.4003
Wanare Frot	<b>1</b> -1	Slope=1	-0.0181	0.1656		
Check Plot	15	Intercept=0	39.8887	0.0245	5.822b	0.2995
CHECKTIOL	13	Slope=1	-0.0170	0.0489		0.2333
P Plot	15	Intercept=0	40.2083	0.0025	5.5846c	0.236
rriot	13	Slope=1	-0.0173	0.0067		
NP Plot	15	Intercept=0	39.8705	0.0035	5.1326d	0.2416
INF FIOL	13	Slope=1	-0.0173	0.0084		
NPK Plot	15	Intercept=0	64.6254	0.0002	5.058d	0.3399
NEKEIOU	13	Slope=1	-0.0297	0.0004		
NPKL Plot	14	Intercept=0	53.2535	0.0843	5.4864c	0.5073
NEKLEIOU	14	Slope=1	-0.0238	0.1172		
Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean	F Value	PR> F	
Source	DΓ		Square	rvalue	FIN	<u> </u>
Treatment	5	20.3067	4.0613	58.1600	<.(	0001
Year	14	5.1531	0.3680	5.2700	<.0	0001

† Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

Table 5. Linear regression analysis between treatments for grain yield, testing the intercept=0 and slope=1 (PR>t,0.05) and ANOVA evaluating treatments using years as replications, Duncans mean separation procedure (PR>F,0.05) 1990-2015, Stillwater, OK.

Treatment	n	Test	Estimate	PR> t	Mean	Standard			
		variable	Estimate	PN> t	IVICALI	deviation			
Manure Plot	14	Intercept=0	-14.7955	0.7709	2.126c	0.7296			
Manure Piot		Slope=1	0.0085	0.7389					
Check Plot	15	Intercept=0	6.1479	0.7933	1.0766d	0.3770			
CHECK FIOL	13	Slope=1	-0.0025	0.8288					
P Plot	15	Intercept=0	-12.0359	0.6223	1.2046d	0.3948			
PPIOL	15	Slope=1	0.0066	0.5882		0.3340			
NP Plot	15	Intercept=0	-76.3918	0.1738	2.2606bc	0.9385			
NF FIOL	13	Slope=1	0.0393	0.1622					
NPK Plot	15	Intercept=0	-24.0798	0.6219	2.4586ab	0.7892			
NEKEIOU		Slope=1	0.0132	0.5872					
NPKL Plot	14	Intercept=0	-47.0810	0.2052	2.5813a	0.6119			
INFILLE		Slope=1	0.0249	0.1817	Z.3013a				
Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean	F Value	PR> F				
	Di	Type III 33	Square	i value	ΓIV	/			
Treatment	5	31.5455	6.3091	54.7200	<.0001				
Year	14	34.7608	2.4829	21.5300	<.0001				
+ NA									

<sup>†</sup> Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

#### Conclusion

- Manure application resulted in the highest means for TN, OC.
- Soil pH: Manure (every 4 years) pH above 6.0.
- Nitrogen fertilizer did not change TN and SOC.
- Nitrogen fertilizer decreased soil pH.
- Check plot with no nutrients applied continues to produce yields > 1.1 Mg/ha after 114 years of cultivation.



www.AgLandLease.info

A website to bridge the gap between Landlords and Leesses











